

#### LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE

PAUL M. HEBERT LAW CENTER, ROOM W127 1 EAST CAMPUS DRIVE BATON ROUGE, LA 70803-1016

(225) 578-0200

FAX: (225) 578-0211

EMAIL: LAWINSTITUTE@LSLI.ORG

WWW.LSLI.ORG

February 29, 2024

Senator Cameron Henry President of the Senate P.O. Box 94183 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

RE: SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 31 OF THE 2023 REGULAR SESSION

Dear Mr. President:

The Louisiana State Law Institute respectfully submits its report to the legislature relative to limited continuing tutorship.

Sincerely,

Guy Holdridge

Director

GH/pc

**Enclosure** 

cc: Senator Franklin J. Foil

email cc: David R. Poynter Legislative Research Library

drplibrary@legis.la.gov

Secretary of State, Ms. Nancy Landry

admin@sos.louisiana.gov

# LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE MARRIAGE-PERSONS COMMITTEE

# REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE IN RESPONSE TO SR NO. 31 OF THE 2023 REGULAR SESSION

Relative to limited continuing tutorship

Prepared for the Louisiana Legislature on

**February 29, 2024** 

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

# LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE MARRIAGE-PERSONS COMMITTEE

Dawn Amacker, Covington

Clinton Bowers, Shreveport

Andre' Douget, Lafayette

Lila Tritico Hogan, Hammond

Philip Riegel, New Orleans

Vincent Saffiotti, Baton Rouge

J. Randall Trahan, Paul M. Hebert Law Center

Frank Tranchina, Covington

Sandra Varnado, Loyola Law School

Monica H. Wallace, Loyola Law School

Lisa Woodruff-White, Baton Rouge

\* \* \* \* \* \*

Katherine S. Spaht, Chair

Andrea B. Carroll, Reporter

Jessica G. Braun, Staff Attorney

BY SENATOR FOIL

#### A RESOLUTION

To urge and request the Louisiana State Law Institute to study and make recommendations to the legislature on whether a limited continuing tutorship should be established.

WHEREAS, Civil Code Art. 355 provides that "when a person above the age of fifteen possesses less than two-thirds of the intellectual functioning of a person of the same age with average intellectual functioning, evidenced by standard testing procedures administered by competent persons or other relevant evidence acceptable to the court, the parents of such person, or the person entitled to custody or tutorship may, with the written concurrence of the coroner of the parish of the intellectually disabled person's domicile, petition the court of that district to place such person under a continuing tutorship which shall not automatically end at any age but shall continue until revoked by the court of domicile"; and

WHEREAS, Civil Code Art. 356(2) provides that "when the person to be placed under the continuing tutorship is above the age of majority, the proceeding shall be conducted according to the procedural rules established for interdictions"; and

WHEREAS, Civil Code Art. 389 allows a court to order the full interdiction of a natural person of the age of majority, or an emancipated minor, who due to an infirmity, is unable to consistently make reasoned decisions regarding the care of his person and property, or to communicate those decisions, and whose interests cannot be protected by less restrictive means; and

WHEREAS, Civil Code Art. 390 authorizes the limited interdiction of a natural person of the age of majority, or an emancipated minor, who due to an infirmity is unable consistently to make reasoned decisions regarding the care of his person or property, or any aspect of either, or to communicate those decisions, and whose interests cannot be protected by less restrictive means; and

SR NO. 31 ENROLLED

WHEREAS, a limited continuing tutorship may be seen as a mixture of a tutorship and an interdiction, which unlike a regular tutorship, the continuing tutorship does not end when the person reaches the age of eighteen; and

WHEREAS, additionally, a limited continuing tutorship may be viewed the same as a tutorship and a full interdiction, wherein the person under a continuing tutorship loses most of his rights and the person appointed as the tutor is responsible for the person just as if he were a minor; and

WHEREAS, a continuing tutorship would authorize the tutor to make certain decisions on behalf of the individual; and

WHEREAS, there is no provision of law that addresses a limited continuing tutorship, which allows a person to marry, enter into contracts and obligations, make medical decisions, or participate in the electoral process; and

WHEREAS, it is important to consider that while an adult may be under a continuing tutorship he may also be capable of independently making certain decisions regarding his personal life; and

WHEREAS, in an effort to provide for consistency, a review of the laws, rules, regulations, policies, and procedures related to a limited continuing tutorship and an interdiction should be considered.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Senate of the Legislature of Louisiana does hereby urge and request the Louisiana State Law Institute to study and make recommendations to the legislature on whether a limited continuing tutorship should be established.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution be transmitted to the director of the Louisiana State Law Institute and that the Louisiana State Law Institute report its findings and recommendations to the legislature on or before March 1, 2024.

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

To: Senator Cameron Henry
President of the Senate
P.O. Box 94183
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

# REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE IN RESPONSE TO SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 31 OF THE 2023 REGULAR SESSION

Senate Resolution No. 31 of the 2023 Regular Session requested the Louisiana State Law Institute to study whether a limited continuing tutorship should be established. The resolution specifically noted the unique nature of continuing tutorship and the tutor's authority and ability to make all decisions on behalf of another individual. In fulfillment of this request, the Law Institute assigned this project to its Marriage-Persons Committee, which operates under the direction of Professor Andrea B. Carroll as Reporter, for consideration and deliberation.

The Marriage-Persons Committee considered recent movements throughout society and in the law to allow persons with disabilities to take more responsibility for themselves, as evidenced by Louisiana's own 2020 Supported Decisionmaking Agreement Act that aims to give interdicted persons greater involvement in decisions affecting their health and wellbeing. After consultation with practitioners and experts in this field, the Law Institute recommends the creation of a limited continuing tutorship as a less expensive and quicker alternative to seeking a limited interdiction, as well as the expansion of continuing tutorship criteria to include adaptive functioning considerations in addition to intellectual functioning. Depending upon the order of the court, it would be possible, under this expansion, for a person under continuing tutorship to marry, enter into contracts and obligations, make medical decisions, and participate in the electoral process.

The following recommendations were submitted to the Legislature and introduced as Senate Bill No. 61 of the 2024 Regular Session.

2024 Regular Session

SENATE BILL NO.

BY SENATOR FOIL

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

TUTORSHIP: Provides for full or limited continuing tutorship

AN ACT 1 To amend and reenact Section 12 of Chapter 1 of Title VIII of Book I of the Civil Code, comprised 2 of Articles 354 through 362, relative to continuing tutorship; to provide for full continuing 3 4 tutorship; to provide for limited continuing tutorship; to provide procedures; to provide for the petition; to provide for the contents of the decree; to provide for the authority of the 5 tutor and undertutor; to provide for termination; to provide for legal capacity; and to 6 7 provide for related matters. Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: 8 9 Section 1. Section 12 of Chapter 1 of Title VIII of Book I of the Civil Code, comprised of 10 Articles 354 through 362, is hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows: SECTION 12 – OF CONTINUING OR PERMANENT TUTORSHIP OF 11 12 PERSONS WITH INTELLECTUAL DISABILITIES Art. 354. Procedure for placing Placing under continuing tutorship 13 Persons, including certain Certain children, with intellectual disabilities or mental 14 15 deficiencies may be placed under full or limited continuing or permanent tutorship without

#### Page 1 of 8

formal or complete interdiction in accordance with the following rules and the procedures stated in the Louisiana provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure.

#### Revision Comments – 2024

(a) This revision changes the law to provide for the possibility of a limited continuing tutorship for an unemancipated minor and to mirror the law of interdiction, which provides for the possibility of both full and limited interdiction for emancipated minors and persons over the age of majority. Where the unemancipated minor who is a candidate for continuing tutorship has an intellectual or adaptive functioning level that renders him consistently able to make reasoned decisions regarding some but not all matters, a limited continuing tutorship may be appropriate.

(b) This revision changes the nomenclature to refer only to "continuing" tutorship. Previously, the phrases "continuing tutorship" and "permanent tutorship" were used interchangeably. This revision suppresses the use of the phrase "permanent tutorship" to promote accuracy and to avoid superfluity.

#### Art. 355. Petition for <u>full or limited</u> continuing <del>or permanent</del> tutorship

When a person an unemancipated minor above the age of fifteen possesses less than two-thirds of the intellectual or adaptive functioning of a person of the same age with average intellectual or adaptive functioning, evidenced by standard testing procedures administered by competent persons or other relevant evidence acceptable to the court, the parents of such person the minor, or the person entitled to custody or tutorship of the minor if one or both parents are dead, incapacitated, or absent persons; or if the parents are judicially separated or divorced or have never been married to each other, may, with the written concurrence of the coroner of the parish of the intellectually disabled person's minor's domicile, petition the court of that district to place such person the minor under a full or limited continuing tutorship which that shall not automatically end at any age but

1	shall continue until revoked by the court of domicile. The petitioner shall not bear the
2	coroner's costs or fees associated with securing the coroner's concurrence.
3	Revision Comments – 2024
4	(a) This revision changes the standard for placing a person under continuing
5	tutorship to allow the court to consider either intellectual or adaptive functioning. Prior law
6	did not allow children functioning at very low levels in the areas of communication, daily
7	living skills, and socialization to be placed under continuing tutorship if their intellectual
8	functioning was near average. This revision permits broader considerations of disability,
9	including, for example, adaptive functioning under the Vineland-3 Adaptive Behavior
10	Scales.
11	
12	(b) This revision also clarifies that continuing tutorship may be sought only for
13	persons between the ages of fifteen and eighteen. Once a person reaches the age of
14	majority, Louisiana's law of interdiction applies. See, e.g., Civil Code Article 389 et seq.
15	Art. 356. Title of proceedings; procedural rules; parent to be named tutor
16	The title of the proceedings shall be Continuing Tutorship of (Name of Person), A
17	Person with an Intellectual a Disability.
18	(1) When the person to be placed under the continuing tutorship is above the age of
19	fifteen, and under the age of majority, and the proceeding shall be conducted according to
20	the procedural rules established for ordinary tutorships.
21	(2) When the person to be placed under the continuing tutorship is above the age of
22	majority, the proceeding shall be conducted according to the procedural rules established
23	for interdictions.
24	(3) (1) When the parents of the person to be placed under the a full or limited
25	continuing tutorship are married to each other and petition jointly, the court shall appoint
26	the parents as co-tutors, unless for good cause the court decrees otherwise.

# Page 3 of 8

CODING: Words in  $\frac{\text{struck through}}{\text{struck through}}$  type are deletions from existing law; words  $\frac{\text{underscored}}{\text{are additions}}$  are

1	(4) (2) when the parents of the person to be praced under the a full or filling
2	continuing tutorship are married to each other but do not petition jointly, the court shall
3	appoint either a petitioning parent as tutor or both individually petitioning parents as co-
4	tutors, in accordance with the best interest of the child.
5	(5) (3) Upon the petition of a parent of the person to be placed under the <u>full or</u>
6	limited continuing tutorship, the court shall, unless good cause requires otherwise, appoint
7	as tutor the petitioning parent who is:
8	(a) The surviving parent, if one parent is dead.
9	(b) The parent awarded custody during minority of the person child to be placed
10	under the full or limited continuing tutorship, if the parents are divorced or judicially
11	separated.
12	(c) The parent who was is tutor or tutrix during minority, if the parents were never
13	married to each other.
14	Art. 357. Decree; place of recording; notice.
15	If the prayer for <u>full or limited</u> continuing <del>or permanent</del> tutorship <del>be</del> <u>is</u> granted, the
16	decree shall be recorded in the conveyance and mortgage records of the parish of the
17	minor's domicile, and of any future domicile, and in such other parishes as may be deemed
18	expedient. The decree shall not be effective as to persons without notice thereof outside of
19	the parishes in which it is recorded.
20	Art. 358. Authority, Full continuing tutorship; authority; privileges, and duties of tutor and
21	undertutor <del>; termination of tutorship</del>

# Page 4 of 8

The granting of the <u>a</u> decree <u>of full continuing tutorship</u> shall confer upon the tutor and undertutor the same authority, privileges, and responsibilities as in other tutorships, including the same authority to give consent for any medical treatment or procedure, to give consent for any educational plan or procedure, and to obtain medical, educational, or other records, but the responsibility of the tutor for the offenses or quasi-offenses of the person with an intellectual disability under full continuing tutorship shall be the same as that of a curator for those of the <u>an</u> interdicted person and the tutorship shall not terminate until the decree is set aside by the court of the domicile, or the court of last domicile if the domicile of the person with an intellectual disability is removed from the State of Louisiana.

Art. 358.1 Limited continuing tutorship; authority; privileges and duties of tutor and undertutor

The granting of a decree of limited continuing tutorship shall confer upon the tutor and undertutor only the authority, privileges, and responsibilities required to protect the interest of the person under limited continuing tutorship.

# Art. 358.2. Termination of continuing tutorship

A full or limited continuing tutorship shall not terminate until the decree is set aside by the court of the domicile of the person under continuing tutorship, or the court of last domicile if the domicile of the person under continuing tutorship is removed from the state.

Art. 359. Restriction on legal capacity

The decree if granted shall restrict A person under full continuing tutorship has the legal capacity of the person with an intellectual disability to that of a minor, of an

## Page 5 of 8

unemancipated	d minor or	any lesser	capac	city a	as may	be o	rdered in	the dec	cree.	A pe	rson
· · · · · ·		•	-	-	· · · ·						
under limited	continuing	tutorship	has le	egal	capacit	y in	accordanc	e with	the	decre	e of
continuing tuto	orship.										

#### Art. 360. Parents' rights of administration

In addition to the rights of tutorship, the parents shall retain, during the marriage and for during the minority of the child with an intellectual disability under full or limited continuing tutorship, all rights of administration granted to parents of children without an intellectual disability not under continuing tutorship during their minority.

### Art. 361. Contest of decree restricting legal capacity

The decree restricting his legal capacity may be contested in the court of domicile by the person under full or limited continuing tutorship himself or by anyone adversely affected by the decree. For good cause, the court may modify or terminate the decree restricting legal capacity.

#### Revision Comments – 2024

Under this Article, a person may contest the decree by objecting to its initial issuance or by seeking a later modification or termination of the decree.

### Art. 362. Persons subject to interdiction-

Persons subject to mental or physical illness or disability, whether of a temporary or permanent nature, of such a degree as to render them subject to interdiction, under in accordance with the provisions of Title IX hereof of this Book, remain subject to interdiction as provided in Articles 389 to through 399, inclusive, and such any other applicable laws as may relate thereto.

#### Page 6 of 8

#### **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by the Louisiana State Law Institute. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

SB

### 2024 Regular Session

Foil

**Abstract:** Provides for limited and full continuing tutorship.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Arts. 354-362) provides for the continuing or permanent tutorship for certain children with intellectual disabilities or mental deficiencies.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 354) provides for either a full or limited continuing tutorship for certain children with disabilities.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 355) provides for the additional applicability of full or limited continuing tutorship to unemancipated minors above the age of fifteen who possess less than two-thirds of the adaptive functioning of a person of the same age with average adaptive functioning.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 356) clarifies that full and limited continuing tutorship does not apply to persons over the age of majority.

Proposed law (C.C. Art. 357) specifies applicability of both full and limited continuing tutorships.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 358) provides for the authority, privileges, and duties of tutors and undertutors for full continuing tutorship.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 358.1) provides for the authority, privileges, and duties of tutors and undertutors for limited continuing tutorship in accordance with the decree of tutorship.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 358.2) provides for the termination of full and limited continuing tutorships.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 359) restricts the legal capacity of a person under full continuing tutorship to that of an unemancipated minor or any lesser capacity as provided in the decree of tutorship. <u>Proposed law</u> further provides for the restriction of legal capacity of a person under limited continuing tutorship to that provided in the decree.

Proposed law (C.C. Art. 360) makes technical conforming amendments.

#### Page 7 of 8

Proposed law (C.C. Art. 361) makes technical conforming amendments.

Proposed law (C.C. Art. 362) makes technical conforming amendments.

(Amends C.C. Arts. 354-362)